

















VARIOUS CHECKLISTS

FOR THE PRAIRIE ISLAND INITIAL EXAMINATION - AUGUST 2002

Facility: Prairie IslandDate of Examination: 8/12-16/02Examinations Developed by: Facility / NRC (circle one)

Target Date*	Task Description / Reference	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security & other requirements (C.2.c)	
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c)]	
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	
-45	8. Proposed examinations, supporting documentation, and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g & h; C.3.d)	
-30	9. Preliminary license applications due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	
-14	10. Final license applications due and assignment sheet prepared (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; assignment sheet updated; waiver letters sent (C.2.g, ES-204)	
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee and authorization granted to give written exams (if applicable) (C.3.k)	
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	

* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.

[] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.



Nuclear Management Company, LLC
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant
1717 Wakonade Dr. East • Welch MN 55089

August 22, 2002

10 CFR 55

Mr. Hironori Peterson
U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, IL 60532-4351

PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT

Docket Nos. 50-282 License Nos. DPR-42
50-306 DPR-60

Examination Security Agreement for Initial Operator License Examination

Enclosed is the signed Examination Security Agreement for the initial operator license examination administered at Prairie Island during the period of August 12, 2002 to August 16, 2002. This agreement is submitted in accordance with the Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors, NUREG-1021, ES-501 C.1.a.

Prairie Island has completed its review of the license written examination administered on August 16, 2002 and we have no recommended changes to the examination answer key.

In this letter we have made no new Nuclear Regulatory Commission commitments.

If you have questions, please contact John Kempkes at 651-388-1165 X5031.

Sincerely,

Mano K. Nazar
Site Vice President

Encl: Examination Security Agreement (1 page)

AUG 27, 2002

2002 No/520

(In Lieu of ES-201-3 - used license from *Albuquerque*)

PRAIRIE ISLAND TRAINING CENTER		PITC 218 Q
TITLE:	EXAMINATION SECURITY AGREEMENT	Revision 0
		Page 1 of 1

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing ~~requalification~~ examination scheduled for the week(s) indicated in this agreement as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about this examination to any unauthorized persons. An unauthorized person is any individual who has not been approved by the NRC chief examiner to receive specialized knowledge of the examination. I understand that I am not to participate in any instruction, tutoring, or examination involving those operators scheduled to be administered this licensing/requalification examination from this date until completion of examination administration. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in procedure PITC 3.10 "NRC Exam Security"). I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the examination(s) administered during the indicated week(s). From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those operators who were administered this licensing/requalification examination(s).

Examination Period AUGUST 12, 2002 to AUGUST 16, 2002

Printed Name	Pre-Examination Certification (1)	Date	Post-Examination Certification (2)	Date
JOHN KEMPLES	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/19/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02
MICHAEL C. WHITE	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-9-02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8-16-02
Brian Johnson	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/9/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02
MIKE GACDZINSKI	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/11/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02
MARK BORN	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/16/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02
Jeff Gehlhar	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/16/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/19/02
GENE DAMMAN	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/16/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02
Richard Martin	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/16/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8-16-02
Kevin Rhoady	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/16/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02
Scott Whitson	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/16/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02
WAYNE IRVIN	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-16-02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8-16-02
Steve Schmidt	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-16-02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8-16-02
Ed Cederberg	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/21/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02
WILLIAM MATTHEW	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/02

Facility: <u>Prairie Island</u>		Date of Examination: <u>August 12 -16, 2002</u>		Operating Test Number: _____	
1. GENERAL CRITERIA			Initials		
			a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	NAV LF	BOP	AP	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	NAV LF	BOP	AP	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a).	NAV LF	BOP	AP	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	NAV LF	BOP	AP	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	NAV LF	BOP	AP	
2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA			--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	NAV	BOP	AP	
b.	The prescribed questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.	NA	NA	NA	
c.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	NAV AP	BOP	AP	
d.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	NAV LF	BOP	AP	
3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA			--	--	--
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	NAV LF	BOP	AP	
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a.	Author (LET) <u>Nicholas Valos & Charles Phillips / Nicholas Valos Charles Phillips</u>	<u>6/24/02</u>			
b.	Examiner of Record <u>Bruce Palagi / Bruce Palagi</u>	<u>6/26/02</u>			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Hironori Peterson / Hironori Peterson</u>	<u>7/3/02</u>			
d.	NRC Supervisor <u>David Miller / Mike Birby</u>	<u>8/6/02</u>			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required. LET - licensing examiner trainee					

Facility: Prairie Island		Date of Exam: August 12-16, 2002		Scenario Numbers: / / /		Operating Test No.: 	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				Initials			
				a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.			nu	BBP	BBP	
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.			nu	BBP	BBP	
3.	Each event description consists of . the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated . the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event . the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew . the expected operator actions (by shift position) . the event termination point (if applicable)			nu	BBP	BBP	
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.			nu	BBP	BBP	
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.			nu	BBP	BBP	
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.			nu	BBP	BBP	
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.			nu	BBP	BBP	
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.			nu	BBP	BBP	
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.			nu	BBP	BBP	
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301.			nu	BBP	BBP	
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).			nu	BBP	BBP	
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).			nu	BBP	BBP	
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.			nu	BBP	BBP	
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)				Actual Attributes			
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)			5	1	2	4
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)			1	1	3	2
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)			2	1	2	4
4.	Major transients (1-2)			1	1	2	1
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)			1	1	2	2
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)			1	1	1	1
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)			4	1	3	3

* scenario (1) - will not be used
 scenario (2) - selected as spare (with less than min mal)
 scenarios (3 & 4) - selected to be used for the exam
 [Attributes modified following scenario validation]

OPERATING TEST NO.:

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number			
			1	2	3	4
RO	Reactivity	1	1	1	4	2
	Normal	1	1	1,2	4	1
	Instrument / Component	4	(RO) 2,3 (BOP) 2,4,5,7	(RO) 2,3,6,7 (BOP) 2,4,5,7	(RO) 1,2,3,6,8 (BOP) 3,6,8	(RO) 1,3,6,8 (BOP) 2,3,5,8
	Major	1	6	4,8	5,9	7
As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
As SRO	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-U	Reactivity	0	0	0	0	0
	Normal	1	1	1,2	4	1
	Instrument / Component	2	2- 5,7	2-3 5,7	1,2, 3,6, 7,8	3,4, 5,6, 8
	Major	1	6	4,8	5,9	7

- Instructions: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author (LET):
 NRC Reviewer:
 (Examiner of Record)
 Chief Examiner:

Nicholas Valos & Charles Phillips / *Nicholas Valos Charles Phillips*
 Bruce Palagi / *Bruce Palagi*
 Hironori Peterson / *Hironori Peterson*

* post scenario validation changes HP

Competencies	Applicant #1 <u>RO</u> /SRO-I/SRO-U				Applicant #2 <u>BOP</u> /SRO-I/SRO-U				Applicant #3 RO/SRO-I/ <u>SRO-U</u>			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	2,3,6	2,4,5 ✓	1,2,7,9	4,5,6,7	4,7	2,4,5 ✓	3,4,5,8,9	3,7,8	2,7	2,5 ✓	1,5,7,9	3,8
Diagnose Events and Conditions	2,3,6	2,4,5 ✓	1,2,5,7,9	4,5,6,7	4,7	2,4,5 ✓	3,4,5,6,8,9	3,7,8	2,7	2,5 ✓	1,9	3,8
Understand Plant and System Response	1,3,6	1,2,5 ✓	1,2,4,7,9	2,3,4,5,6,7	4,7	1,2,3,4,5 ✓	3,4,5,6,8,9	1,2,3,7,8	1,7	1,5 ✓	1,9	1,3,4,5,6,7,8
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,3,6	1,2,5 ✓	1,2,4,5,7,9	2,3,4,5,6,7	2,4,7	1,5 ✓	3,4,6,8,9	1,3,7,8,9	1,6,7	2,3,4,5 ✓	1,9	1,3,4,5,6,7,8
Operate Control Boards (2)	1,3,6	1,2,5 ✓	1,2,4,5,7,9	2,3,4,5,6,7	2,4,7	1,5 ✓	3,4,5,6,8,9	1,3,7,8				
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	1,2,6	1,2,5 ✓	1,2,4,5,7,9	2,3,4,5,6,7	1,4,7	1,5 ✓	3,4,5,6,8,9	1,3,7,8	1,7	1,5 ✓	1,9	1,3,4,5,6,7,8
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)									1,7	1,5 ✓	1,9	1,3,4,5,6,7,8
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)									2	2,3 ✓	1,2	3,4,5 ✓

Notes:

(1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
 (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
 (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author (LET): Nicholas Valos & Charles Phillips *Nicholas Valos* *Charles Phillips*
 NRC Reviewer: Bruce Palagi *Bruce Palagi*
 (Examiner of Record)
 Chief Examiner: Hironori Peterson *Hironori Peterson*

* post validation changes - JFP

Facility:		Date of Exam:		Exam Level: RO/SRO		
Item Description				Initial		
				a	b*	c*
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility			BP	BP	BP
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available			BP	BP	BP
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401			BP	BP	BP
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process					BP
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)			BP	BP	BP
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank	Modified	New	BP	BP
		24/68	22/19	5/157		
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory	CIA		BP	BP
		44/43	50/57			
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers			BP	BP	BP
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified			BP	BP	BP
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines			BP	BP	BP
11.	The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet			BP	BP	BP

Printed Name / Signature		Date
a. Author	Nicholas Valos & Charles Phillips <i>Nicholas Valos</i>	5/3/02
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Bruce Palagi <i>Bruce Palagi</i>	7-3-02
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Hironori Peterson <i>Hironori Peterson</i>	7-5-02
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Bill McNair <i>Bill McNair</i> Mike Bielby <i>Mike Bielby</i>	8/6/02

Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.
 # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c," chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility:		Date of Exam:		Exam Level: <u>RO/SRO</u>		
Item Description		Initial				
		a	b*	c*		
1	Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility	nu 15	BP	AP		
2	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available	nu 15	BP	AP		
3	RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401	nu 15	BP	AP		
4	Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process			AP		
5	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed, or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	nu 15	BP	AP		
6	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank 39	Modified 14 nu 13	New AP nu 48	nu 15 BP AP	
7	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory 46	CIA 54 Total nu 31 (27 New)	nu 15 BP AP		
8	References/handouts provided do not give away answers	nu 15	BP	AP		
9	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified	nu 15	BP	AP		
10	Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines	nu 15	BP	AP		
11	The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet	nu 15	BP	AP		

Printed Name / Signature		Date
a Author	Nicholas Valos & Charles Phillips	6-26-02
b Facility Reviewer (*)	Bruce Palagi	7-3-02
c NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Hironori Peterson	7-5-02
d NRC Regional Supervisor	Don McNeely Mike Bialby	8/6/02

Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.
 # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required

Facility: PRAIRIE ISLAND		Date of Exam: August 16, 2002		Exam Level: <u>RO</u> /SRO	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	RAV	NA	AP		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	RAV		AP		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	RAV		AP		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	RAV		AP		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	RAV		NA		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	RAV	↓	AP		
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a. Grader (NRC)	C. Phillips/N. Valos <i>C. Phillips N. Valos</i>	8/23/02			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Not Applicable (NRC written & graded exam)				
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	H. Peterson <i>H. Peterson</i>	8/28/02			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	D. Pelton / <i>D. Pelton</i>	8/29/02			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

PRAIRIE ISLAND RO EXAM 2002

001	B	021	A	041	A	061	A	081	A
002	C	022	C	042	B	062	C	082	B
003	B	023	C	043	D	063	C	083	C
004	A	024	B	044	D	064	D	084	D
005	C	025	B	045	D	065	D	085	D
006	B	026	D	046	D	066	D	086	B
007	B	027	B	047	D	067	B	087	B
008	D	028	D	048	B	068	C	088	D
009	D	029	A	049	A	069	B	089	A
010	C	030	A	050	C	070	B	090	B
011	A	031	A	051	B	071	D	091	C
012	C	032	C	052	A	072	C	092	D
013	D	033	B	053	D	073	A	093	A
014	B	034	C	054	A	074	A	094	C
015	A	035	D	055	C	075	B	095	D
016	D	036	D	056	A	076	B	096	B
017	D	037	B	057	B	077	D	097	A
018	A	038	A	058	C	078	C	098	D
019	A	039	B	059	C	079	B	099	C
020	A	040	D	060	A	080	A	100	B

Facility: PRAIRIE ISLAND		Date of Exam: August 16, 2002		Exam Level: RO <u>SRO</u>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	YNU	NA	AB		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	YNU		AB		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	YNU		AB		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	YNU		AB		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	YNU		NA		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	YNU	↓	AB		

Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Grader (NRC) C. Phillips/N. Valos / <i>C. Phillips</i>	8/23/02
b. Facility Reviewer (*) Not Applicable (NRC written & graded exam)	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) H. Peterson / <i>H. Peterson</i>	8/28/02
d. NRC Supervisor (*) D. Pelton / <i>D. Pelton</i>	8/27/02

(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.

SRO Answer Key

1. B	26.D	51.D	76.C
2. C	27.A	52.D	77.A
3. B	28.A	53.B	78.B
4. A	29.C	54.C	79.C
5. C	30.B	55.B	80.A
6. B	31.B	56.D	81.C
7. D	32.A	57.A	82.C
8. C	33.B	58.B	83.C
9. A	34.D	59.B	84.A
10.C	35.D	60.C	85.D
11.D	36.D	61.B	86.B
12.B	37.D	62.A	87.A
13.A	38.B	63.B	88.B
14.D	39.A	64.B	89.D
15.D	40.B	65.B	90.D
16.A	41.D	66.D	91.C
17.A	42.A	67.B	92.C
18.A	43.C	68.A	93.C
19.A	44.B	69.C	94.B
20.C	45.C	70.A	95.C
21.C	46.A	71.A	96.C
22.B	47.A	72.C	97.C
23.B	48.C	73.C	98.C
24.D	49.C	74.D	99.B
25.B	50.D	75.B	100.B

Task Description	Date Complete
1. Facility written exam comments or graded exams received and verified complete	<i>original rec'd 8/23/02 mail com's rec'd 8/27/02 (NO POST EXAM COMMENTS)</i>
2. Facility written exam comments reviewed and incorporated and NRC grading completed, if necessary	<i>8/23/02</i>
3. Operating tests graded by NRC examiners	<i>8/21-22/02</i>
4. NRC Chief examiner review of written exam and operating test grading completed	<i>8/28/02</i>
5. Responsible supervisor review completed	<i>8/30/02</i>
6. Management (licensing official) review completed	<i>8/30/02</i>
7. License and denial letters mailed	<i>9/8/02</i>
8. Facility notified of results	<i>9/6/02</i>
9. Examination report issued (refer to NRC MC 0610)	<i>9/12/2002</i>
10. Reference material returned after final resolution of any appeals	<i>N/A DID NOT Request back Ref Mtl</i>